

Scanning tunneling spectroscopy investigations of superconducting-doped topological insulators: Experimental pitfalls and results

Stefan Wilfert,^{1,*} Paolo Sessi,¹ Zhiwei Wang,² Henrik Schmidt,¹ M. Carmen Martínez-Velarte,³ Seng Huat Lee,^{4,†} Yew San Hor,⁴ Alexander F. Otte,³ Yoichi Ando,² Weida Wu,^{1,5} and Matthias Bode^{1,6}

¹Physikalisches Institut, Experimentelle Physik II, Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

²Physics Institute II, University of Cologne, 50937 Cologne, Germany

³Department of Quantum Nanoscience, Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology, Lorentzweg 1, 2628 CJ Delft, Netherlands

⁴Department of Physics, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409, USA

⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA

⁶Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen-Center for Complex Material Systems, Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany



(Received 27 May 2018; published 20 August 2018)

Recently, the doping of topological insulators has attracted significant interest as a potential route towards topological superconductivity. Because many experimental techniques lack sufficient surface sensitivity, however, definite proof of the coexistence of topological surface states and surface superconductivity is still outstanding. Here we report on highly surface sensitive scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy experiments performed on TI-doped Bi₂Te₃, a three-dimensional topological insulator which becomes superconducting in the bulk at $T_C = 2.3$ K. Landau level spectroscopy as well as quasiparticle interference mapping clearly demonstrated the presence of a topological surface state with a Dirac point energy $E_D = -(118 \pm 1)$ meV and a Dirac velocity $v_D = (4.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5$ m/s. Tunneling spectra often show a superconducting gap, but temperature- and field-dependent measurements show that both T_C and $\mu_0 H_C$ strongly deviate from the corresponding bulk values. Furthermore, in spite of a critical field value which clearly points to type-II superconductivity, no Abrikosov lattice could be observed. Experiments performed on normal-metallic Ag(111) prove that the gapped spectrum is caused only by superconducting tips, probably caused by a gentle crash with the sample surface during approach. Nearly identical results were found for the intrinsically n -type compound Nb-doped Bi₂Se₃. Our results suggest that the superconductivity in superconducting-doped V-VI topological insulators does not extend to the surface where the topological surface state is located.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.98.085133](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.085133)

I. INTRODUCTION

It was theoretically recognized quite early that the lack of spin- and spatial-rotation symmetries in p -wave superconductors leads to unconventional textures of the order parameter which may result in domain walls and quasiparticle excitations with vanishing excitation energies, so-called zero modes [1,2]. Whereas early theories were originally designed to describe superconductors in symmetries characteristic of the fractional quantum Hall effect, the discovery of three-dimensional topological insulators (TIs) [3], which at the same time possess a gapped bulk state and a gapless surface state, opened additional avenues towards realization of these collective quantum phenomena and their potential application in quantum computation [4]. In this context zero-energy Majorana bound states (MBSs), which represent the simplest non-Abelian excitation of Moore-Read states [5], are particularly auspicious as they would allow the nonlocal storage of quantum bits, thereby promising a larger robustness against local sources of decoherence [6,7].

Various routes towards the realization of topological superconductors have been pursued. For example, it has been theoretically proposed that the proximity of an ordinary s -wave superconductor with a strong TI results in $p_x + ip_y$ superconductivity, which can support MBSs in vortices [8]. Indeed, planar heterostructure could successfully be prepared by the epitaxial growth of topological insulators epitaxially grown on superconducting NbSe₂ [9,10]. In agreement with theoretical expectations an in-gap zero-bias conductance peak was found in magnetic vortices in proximity-coupled Bi₂Te₃ films [11].

Another route may be the self-organized growth or atom-by-atom assembly of one-dimensional magnetic chains on strongly spin orbit coupled superconductors. Model calculations indicated that single-atomic chains with a modulated (helical) spin structure exhibit a nontrivial topological ground state with MBSs at the two chain termination points [12]. Although a zero-bias conductance peak was indeed observed in scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) experiments performed on self-organized Fe chains on Pb(110) [13], the interpretation of these results remains controversial [14].

Especially from a materials perspective the intercalation or doping of bismuth chalcogenides (Bi₂Se₃ or Bi₂Te₃) represents another promising and frequently pursued approach towards the realization of topological superconductors. Throughout the remainder of this paper, the term “intercalation” will refer

*Corresponding author: swilfert@physik.uni-wuerzburg.de

†Present address: 2D Crystal Consortium, Materials Research Institute, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA.

TABLE I. Overview of experimental studies analyzing potential superconducting-doped topological insulators. The critical field $\mu_0 H_C$ was obtained for applied fields perpendicular to the sample surface.

Material and concentration	Experimental techniques	T_C (K)	$\mu_0 H_C$ (T)	TSS verified?	Ref.
$\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$					
$0.10 \leq x \leq 0.15$	Transport, XRD, TEM, STM	3.8	1.7	No	[15]
$x = 0.25$	Transport, magnetometry	3.3	Not shown	Yes	[16]
$x = 0.3$	Point-contact spectroscopy	3.2	Not shown	No	[17]
$x = 0.2$	STM, STS	Not shown	1.7 (at 0.95 K)	No	[18]
$x = 0.3$	NMR	3.4	Not shown	No	[19]
$x = 0.3$	Specific heat	3.2	Not shown	No	[20]
$\text{Sr}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$					
$x \leq 0.065$	Transport	2.57	≈ 1	Yes	[21]
$x = 0.1$	Transport, SEM, TEM	2.9	1.4 (at 0 K)	No	[22]
$x = 0.2$	STM, STS	5	≥ 5	Yes	[23]
$\text{Tl}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$					
$x = 0.6$	Transport	2.28	1.06	No	[24]
$x = 0.5$	ARPES	Not shown	Not shown	Yes	[25]
$\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$					
$x = 0.25$	Transport, STM, ARPES	3.6	0.15 (at 2 K)	Yes	[26]
$x = 0.25$	Torque magnetometry	3	0.6	No	[27]

to the inclusion of atoms in a van der Waals gap between two layers of the host material, whereas the term “doping” refers to impurities in regular lattice sites. Table I summarizes experimental key results of materials combinations relevant in the context of our work. The left column of Table I lists the chemical formula together with the nominal concentration of the doping element. The following three columns, from left to right, recapitulate the employed experimental techniques, the reported critical temperature T_C , and the critical field $\mu_0 H_C$, respectively. The second column from the right indicates whether the existence of the topological surface state (TSS) has explicitly been proven experimentally. We would like to emphasize that either all measurements were carried out at the Fermi level or it was shown that the TSS crosses E_F , a crucial condition for topological superconductivity. Finally, the right column cites the references.

$\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ with $0.10 \leq x \leq 0.15$ was the first intercalated topological material for which a superconducting transition with a transition temperature of 3.8 K was claimed [15]. X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments in combination with transmission electron microscopy (TEM) confirmed that Cu was intercalated into the van der Waals gap of Bi_2Se_3 with “long or short range order” [15]. Torque magnetometry measurements that showed pronounced quantum oscillations in high magnetic field were interpreted as evidence of topological properties, although at a slightly different doping level ($x = 0.25$) [16]. Furthermore, the observation of a zero-bias conduction peak in point-contact spectroscopy experiments which vanishes above 1.15 K and 0.8 T indicated the existence of Majorana fermions [17].

A scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and STS study performed on $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ with $x = 0.2$ revealed an inhomogeneous sample that exhibits superconductivity only in some surface regions [18]. Also other studies reported a rather low superconducting volume fraction which could be improved

by avoiding substitutional Cu defects [28]. In the superconducting regions of cleaved $\text{Cu}_{0.2}\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ the STM/STS study of Levy *et al.* [18] mostly showed a gap without any zero-bias anomaly which could well be fitted by BCS theory, suggesting classical *s*-wave superconductivity. Interestingly, some results occasionally obtained at particularly low tunneling resistance (close tip-sample distance) exhibited a zero-bias peak. Similar to what we will discuss below, this observation was ascribed to a tip which “became contaminated and possibly superconducting after crashing into the sample” [18]. However, the study of Levy *et al.* [18] does not discuss whether the superconducting regions also support the topological surface state. Contradictory to the pairing mechanism suggested by these STS data, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) [19] and specific heat [20] measurements demonstrated a broken spin-rotation symmetry, indicating a pseudospin-triplet state in $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$.

Sr-intercalated and Sr-doped Bi_2Se_3 was investigated by resistivity experiments. Whereas no superconductivity was found for the doped sample, a superconducting transition temperature of 2.57 K was reported for Sr-intercalated Bi_2Se_3 . Magnetic-field-dependent measurements revealed a critical field of roughly 1 T and quantum oscillations verifying the presence of a surface state [21]. In another transport study the influence of the nominal Sr content x was analyzed, and $x = 0.1$ was declared to be the optimal level leading to a critical temperature of 2.9 K and a critical field of 1.4 T if interpolated to zero temperature [22]. In combined STM/STS measurements on $\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ two kinds of surface areas were found [23]. Whereas the clean surface with large atomically smooth terraces showed no signs of superconductivity, sample areas decorated with clusters of varying size revealed a V-shaped gap. Based on Landau level spectroscopy it was claimed that a proper topological surface state is present, even though the very narrow energy range over which the Landau levels could be observed severely complicates the

determination if the Landau level dispersion relation indeed follows a Dirac-like behavior [23]. Furthermore, the values reported in Ref. [23] for the critical temperature ($T_C = 5$ K) and the critical field ($\mu_0 H_C \geq 5$ T) by far exceed what was found in above-mentioned studies [21,22].

Recently, superconductivity with a critical temperature of 2.28 K and critical field of 1.06 T was found in $\text{Tl}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ at optimal Tl content $x = 0.6$ [24]. X-ray diffraction showed sharp reflections similar to those of pristine Bi_2Te_3 , indicating good crystalline quality. An angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) study of $\text{Tl}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ with a slightly lower Tl content ($x = 0.5$) showed that the Dirac surface state is well isolated from bulk bands, making this sample ideal to study topological superconductivity [25].

Also Nb intercalation of Bi_2Se_3 leads to superconductivity with a critical temperature of 3.6 K and a critical field of 0.15 T at 2 K. ARPES clearly demonstrated the existence of a Dirac-like surface state with Dirac point energy lying roughly 300 mV below the Fermi level [26]. Torque magnetometry showed strong coupling between superconductivity and the crystal symmetry, leading to bulk nematic order in the superconducting ground state [27], similar to the findings for $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ [19,20].

Although a large number of reports discuss the potential existence of topological superconductivity in doped or intercalated topological host materials [15–18,21–27], we have to conclude that none of these references at the same time convincingly proves the *coexistence* of surface superconductivity and a topological surface state with Dirac-like dispersion. Most transport studies [15,17,21,22,24,26,27] are essentially bulk sensitive; that is, even a large volume fraction cannot safely ensure that superconductivity extends all the way up to the terminating quintuple layer which supports the topological surface state. Others show indisputable evidence of surface superconductivity but fail to show the existence of the TSS [18]. Only one study claims the observation of surface superconductivity and the TSS [21]. However, even though the very high critical field of 5 T suggests a type-II superconductor, no Abrikosov lattice was shown [21], thereby calling into question the validity of these results.

Here we report on our attempts to verify the coexistence of topological surface states and surface superconductivity on cleaved surfaces of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and $\text{Nb}_{0.25}\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$. Especially, Tl-doped Bi_2Te_3 is unique as, in contrast to other materials, it is *p* doped. In heavily *n*-doped materials the Fermi level is well inside the conduction band such that bulk and surface superconductivity may easily be confused. In contrast, we can disentangle topological states from bulk electronic properties for *p*-type Tl-doped Bi_2Te_3 . Landau level spectroscopy in combination with quasiparticle interference mapping, on the one hand, clearly demonstrates the presence of a topological surface state in both compounds. On the other hand, in neither case were we able to find clear evidence of surface superconductivity down to the lowest possible measurement temperature of 300 mK. Even though superconducting gaps were regularly observed in STS data at high energy resolution, several inconsistencies indicate that these results are most likely caused by an unintentional coating of the tip apex with a superconducting material, possibly in the form of a small cluster. This interpretation is supported by values for the critical

temperature and the critical field which deviated strongly from corresponding values measured with bulk-sensitive methods.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The growth of Tl-doped Bi_2Te_3 was performed by mixing high-purity elemental shots of Tl (99.99%), Bi (99.9999%), and Te (99.9999%) that were cleaned in order to remove oxide layers. The mixture was sealed in an evacuated quartz tube and heated to 1123 K for 48 h. The tube was cooled to 823 K with a rate of 5 K/h, followed by a quench in ice water (see Refs. [24,29] for further details). Due to the high room-temperature mobility of Tl atoms in $\text{Tl}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and the associated reduction of the superconducting volume fraction [24], samples were stored at liquid-nitrogen temperatures or below.

STM and STS experiments were carried out with two scanning tunneling microscopes, each covering a dedicated temperature range. Measurements with a minimal temperature $T_{\text{min}} = 1.5$ K were performed with a home-built cryogenic scanning tunneling microscope which is equipped with a superconducting magnet that supplies a magnetic field of up to 12.5 T perpendicular to the sample surface. Experiments at lower temperature ($T = 300$ mK) were executed with a commercial Unisoku USM-1300. For all measurements we used electrochemically etched W tips. Topographic images were recorded in the constant-current mode. The bias voltage is applied to the sample; that is, negative (positive) voltages correspond to occupied (unoccupied) sample states. Spectroscopy data were obtained under open feedback loop conditions by adding a small modulation voltage U_{mod} to the bias voltage U by means of a lock-in amplifier.

The samples were glued on a sample holder, introduced into the ultrahigh-vacuum system via a load lock, and cleaved at room temperature at a pressure $p \leq 5 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. Immediately after cleavage the pristine sample was inserted into the STM. During tip conditioning, which was performed by dipping the tip apex into a clean Ag(111) surface, the sample was stored in a garage inside the LHe shield at temperatures close to 4.2 K.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Tl-doped Bi_2Te_3

1. The topological surface state

Figure 1(a) shows the topography of a cleaved $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ sample. The inset presents a zoomed-in STM image with atomic resolution. The measured lattice constant of (4.4 ± 0.2) Å is in good agreement with the bulk lattice constant of 4.38 Å. In a 50×50 nm² scan area we find a total of (220 ± 15) defects, i.e., far below the number of 9000 Tl atoms expected within the first quintuple layer of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$. In fact, by analyzing the appearance of defects in STM images we find that the vast majority of them closely resemble defects which are also characteristic of pristine *p*-doped Bi_2Te_3 , i.e., antisites of Bi in the top and bottom Te planes of the first quintuple layer [30]. Based on these data, one would naively expect that Tl does not occupy sites within Bi_2Te_3 quintuple layers but is rather located in van der Waals gaps between




FIG. 1. (a) Topographic image of cleaved $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$. Defects characteristic for p -doped Bi_2Te_3 can be recognized. No signs of Tl intercalation are visible. The inset shows an atomic resolution image. (b) Differential conductance (dI/dU) spectrum of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ recorded on the clean surface at a lateral distance of more than 3 nm from a defect. The Dirac point energy E_D , valence band maximum E_{VB} , and conduction band minimum E_{CB} are marked with blue dashed lines. Scan/stabilization parameters are $T = 1.7$ K and $U = -0.3$ V, $I = 50$ pA in the main panel of (a), $U = -0.2$ V, $I = 50$ pA in the inset of (a), and $U_{\text{set}} = -0.4$ V, $I_{\text{set}} = 100$ pA, $U_{\text{mod}} = 5$ mV in (b).

quintuple layers. This interpretation is, however, inconsistent with earlier neutron scattering and x-ray diffraction studies in which an intercalated site could be excluded [24]. Instead, these data indicate that the Tl atoms primarily occupy Bi sites, whereas the kicked-out Bi atoms in turn result in Bi_{Te} antisites, such that the system as a whole remains in the tetradymite structure. Effectively, the chemical formula of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ approximately becomes $(\text{Tl}_{0.27}\text{Bi}_{0.73})_2(\text{Bi}_{0.11}\text{Te}_{0.89})_3$. We can only speculate why Bi antisites, which are clearly visible on cleaved Bi_2Te_3 [30], might have remained undetected in our STM experiments on $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$. Potentially, the bias voltage and therefore the energy window chosen here were not suitable for detecting these antisites in topography.

Figure 1(b) presents an overview scanning tunneling spectrum of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$. Similar to the results presented in Ref. [31], the Landau level spectroscopy data, which will be described below, can consistently be explained if we assume that the top of the bulk valence band is energetically located at the minimum differential conductance dI/dU . Correspondingly, the flat part of the shoulder in the unoccupied energy range marks the bottom of the conduction band at $E_{CB} = (230 \pm 10)$ meV. Similar to pristine Bi_2Te_3 , the Dirac point lies inside the valence band and can be recognized by a change of slope in the dI/dU signal. As indicated by black lines in




FIG. 2. (a) STS data of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ measured at various magnetic fields at $T = 1.7$ K. (b) Landau level energies plotted versus k_n , confirming the linear dependence expected for a TSS. (c) Schematic equipotential surface (black), in-plane spin polarization (blue and red arrows), and potential scattering vectors (q_1/q_2) for a TI close to the Dirac point (top panel) and in the warped energy regime (bottom). (d) QPI map of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$, with its Fourier transformation in the inset ($T = 4.8$ K). No backscattering can be recognized. Scan parameters are (a) $U = -150$ mV, $I = 600$ pA, $U_{\text{mod}} = 1$ mV and (d) $U = 400$ mV, $I = 50$ pA, $U_{\text{mod}} = 10$ mV.

Fig. 1(b), this linear approximation procedure results in a Dirac energy $E_D = (-100 \pm 20)$ mV.

Whereas the procedures performed to analyze the data of Fig. 1(b) result in a relatively large error bar, Landau level spectroscopy (LLS) permits a much more accurate determination of the dispersion relation of Dirac-like quasiparticles. Figure 2(a) shows STS data of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ measured at zero field (black) and at various externally applied magnetic fields up to 11 T. The zero-field spectrum is similar to the one presented in Fig. 1(b). At high magnetic fields a sequence of peaks appears in the energy range of ± 100 meV. With increasing magnetic field strength, the individual peaks become more intense, and their energy separation increases, such that more peaks become visible in a wider and wider energy range.

These peaks indicate the evolution of a series of Landau levels (LL). In Dirac materials the LL energies are given by the equation

$$E_n = E_D + \text{sgn}(n)v_D\sqrt{2\hbar e|n|B} = E_D + \text{sgn}(n)v_D\hbar k_n, \quad (1)$$

where E_D is the Dirac energy, v_D is the Dirac velocity, n is the LL index, and B is the magnetic field perpendicular to the sample surface. In the case of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ all peaks visible in Fig. 2(a) correspond to electronlike Landau levels; holelike Landau levels could not be detected, in agreement with earlier experiments on pristine Bi_2Te_3 [32]. For further analysis we performed a background subtraction for the data presented in Fig. 2(a) and fitted the Landau level peaks by Gaussian functions. The obtained Landau level energies are plotted versus k_n in Fig. 2(b). The results nicely match the expected linear dependence, thereby confirming the existence of a Dirac-like surface state. Fitting the experimental data with Eq. (1) results in a Dirac point energy $E_D = -(118 \pm 1)$ meV and a Dirac velocity $v_D = (4.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5$ m/s.

Another characteristic property of topological surface states is the absence of backscattering. It has been shown that quasiparticle interference mapping can visualize the scattering behavior of topological surface states [33–35]. Figure 2(c) illustrates potential scattering vectors between nested parts of the equipotential surface of a TI in close proximity to the Dirac energy (top panel) and farther away from the Dirac point (bottom panel), where the symmetry of the crystal lattice leads to warping with a significant out-of-plane spin polarization caused by spin-orbit coupling [36]. Close to the Dirac energy the only nested (parallel) parts of the almost circular equipotential surface are located at opposite k values, i.e., states which also possess an opposite spin polarization. Consequently, backscattering [see scattering vector \mathbf{q}_1 in Fig. 2(c)] is forbidden as long as time-reversal symmetry is maintained. With increasing energy separation from the Dirac point, spin-orbit effects, which become more relevant, eventually deform the equipotential surface to a snowflake-like shape. This opens further scattering channels \mathbf{q}_2 which are allowed due to the resulting out-of-plane component of the spin polarization and are expected to occur in the $\Gamma\bar{M}$ direction of the surface Brillouin zone [36]. Indeed, Fourier transformation of the quasiparticle interference map shown in Fig. 2(d), which was measured at a bias voltage $U = 400$ mV, i.e., at an energy about 0.5 eV above the Dirac point, shows intensity maxima along this direction, whereas no intensity is found along the backscattering direction $\Gamma\bar{K}$ (see inset).

2. Superconducting properties

The results presented so far confirm that the surface of Tl-doped Bi_2Te_3 exhibits a topological surface state with a Dirac point about 100 meV below the Fermi level and a valence band maximum just above the Fermi level. These values slightly deviate from what has been observed by Trang *et al.* [25] for as-grown (fresh) $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ by ARPES ($E_D = -60$ meV). In the same study [25] it was shown that sample storage at a pressure $p = 2 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr for 12 h results in a surface aging-induced n -type shift of the surface chemical potential by about 220 meV, i.e., far into the conduction band. Even though the shift of 58 mV observed here is much smaller, some surface band bending is undeniably observed in our STS data, the origin of which remains to be investigated. We would like to emphasize, however, that the very low surface density of states at the Fermi level is rather favorable for the unanimous

identification of superconducting properties of Dirac electrons, as long as the surface band bending does not prevent us from inducing superconductivity from the bulk into the surface Dirac electrons via a proximity effect.

To investigate potential superconducting properties of cleaved $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ we performed high-resolution spectroscopy experiments close to the Fermi energy. An experimental result obtained at a nominal temperature $T \approx 1.5$ K is presented as a black line in Fig. 3(a). A U-shaped superconducting gap with an almost vanishing zero-bias dI/dU signal and pronounced coherence peaks at $E \approx 1.3$ meV is clearly visible. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the gap size decreases with increasing temperature until it vanishes roughly at 5.0 K, a value which is more than twice the reported critical temperature of bulk $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$, $T_C^{\text{bulk}} = 2.3$ K [24]. A similar discrepancy is found in the field-dependent STS data displayed in Fig. 3(c). In qualitative agreement with the expected behavior the gap size decreases with increasing magnetic field. However, even at 4.5 T a tiny gap can still be recognized. Similar to the temperature dependence the critical magnetic field, if it were extracted from the experimental data presented in Fig. 3(c), would substantially exceed the respective value of bulk $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$, which amounts to only $\mu_0 H_C^{\text{bulk}} \approx 400$ mT at our measurement temperature of 1.5 K [24].

We would like to emphasize that the observation of a superconducting gap in STS experiments on cleaved $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ was not at all an exception but was instead rather frequent, even if a freshly prepared W tip was used for the experiment. Furthermore, temperature- and field-dependent measurements reliably reproduced the data presented in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c). Since the high magnetic field necessary to close the superconducting gap in Fig. 3(c) suggests a type-II superconductor, we expected an inhomogeneous phase where a sufficiently strong applied magnetic field ($H_{\text{app}} > H_{C1}$) would lead to magnetic flux quanta penetrating the superconductor, potentially in the form of an Abrikosov lattice. Although we have recently successfully observed Abrikosov lattices on Nb(110) (not shown) and the heavy-electron superconductor TlNi_2Se_2 [37], we never found any magnetic vortices on cleaved $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$.

In order to characterize the probe tip more carefully, we performed STS experiments on a normal-metallic Ag(111) surface. The red curve in Fig. 3(a) shows a high-resolution spectrum recorded at $T = 1.6$ K with a tip previously used for scanning a $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ sample. One can recognize a superconducting gap with a shape very similar to that for $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ (see black spectrum). The small deviations are possibly caused by a slightly higher measurement temperature and the metallic nature of silver, which leads to a much higher density of states at the Fermi level. These findings clearly show that the superconducting gap observed in the black curve of Fig. 3 is not caused by the sample itself but by the tip, which most likely picked up a superconducting cluster from the $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ sample.

Comparison of our results with a recent temperature- and field-dependent STS study [23] of the potential topological superconductor $\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ reveals some surprising similarities. For example, for $\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ a critical temperature $T_C \approx 5$ K and a second critical field $\mu_0 H_{C2} \approx 5$ T were reported [23]. These values not only significantly exceeded the corresponding bulk values determined by transport [21,22]; they are also

strikingly close to what we observe with superconducting tips in Fig. 3. Even though the existence of a second critical field would necessarily imply the formation of magnetic vortices at $H_{C1} < H < H_{C2}$, their existence has neither been discussed nor experimentally shown in Ref. [23].




FIG. 3. (a) Normalized dI/dU spectra of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$. The spectrum taken with tip 1 shows a superconducting gap at $T = 1.5$ K (black line). However, the same tip exhibits a very similar gap on Ag(111) (red line), indicating that a superconducting cluster was accidentally picked up, presumably due to a gentle collision with the sample surface. After careful tip handling, even at $T = 0.32$ K no gap can be found (tip 2; blue line). (b) Temperature-dependent

Only if we took extreme care to safely move the tip towards the $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ surface by using a very low set point current $I_{\text{set}} \leq 30$ pA and feedback circuit settings which exclude self-resonance could we avoid any tip-sample contact. With these clean W tips we performed measurements on several samples that were previously analyzed by transport measurements. Even though all samples showed bulk superconductivity with transition temperatures close to 2.3 K, we were unable to detect any superconducting gap in the low-bias regime around the Fermi level in our STS experiments, as exemplarily shown by the thick blue line in Fig. 3(a). In combination with the previously discussed observations, i.e., the strong evidence for an (accidental) superconducting probe tip and the absence of flux quanta, these measurements rule out that the surface of cleaved $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ is superconducting down to the lowest measurement temperature applied here, i.e., $T = 0.32$ K.

This finding might be explained by three scenarios: (i) The sample was superconducting immediately after materials synthesis but later degrades due to aging effects, potentially because of insufficient cooling and the resulting onset of TI segregation, which could lead to a reduction of the superconducting volume fraction [24]. (ii) Superconductivity exists only in the bulk, and the proximity effect on Dirac electrons is too weak to observe any significant Cooper pair formation on the sample surface. (iii) The surface critical temperature of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ is substantially lower than the corresponding bulk value, $T_C^{\text{bulk}} = 2.3$ K.

To check if degradation, scenario (i), is responsible for the absence of surface superconductivity we performed another set of superconducting quantum interference device measurements after STS experiments had been carried out; that is, the sample initially shipped from the growth laboratory (University of Cologne) to the STM laboratory (Universität Würzburg) was, upon completion of STM measurements, shipped back to its origin. Figure 4(a) shows the resulting temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility, which clearly demonstrates that T_C^{bulk} remains unchanged and that the superconducting volume fraction is still close to 100%. Obviously, the small shift of the chemical potential is present only at the surface, whereas the bulk chemical potential remains unchanged throughout the entire experiment.

To test scenario (ii) we increased the density of bulk states around the Fermi energy to potentially boost the coupling between topological Dirac electrons, on the one hand, and the Cooper pairs supported by the $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ bulk, on the other hand. This goal was achieved by doping the $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ surface with Cu evaporated onto the cold sample inside the STM, which resulted in well-separated Cu adatoms (not shown here). Nonmagnetic Cu was chosen to avoid any influence of magnetic moments on the superconductivity. Figure 4(b) presents overview spectroscopy data measured on pristine $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and after four consecutive steps of Cu adatom

dI/dU spectra as measured with a superconducting tip. The gap vanishes at 5 K, i.e., a temperature significantly higher than T_C . (c) Field-dependent STS data measured with the same tip at $T = 1.5$ K. The superconducting gap can be recognized up to 4.5 T. Parameters are $U = -5$ mV, $I = 50$ –200 pA, $U_{\text{mod}} = 0.1$ mV.




FIG. 4. (a) Temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility measured after STM/STS measurements. No significant changes in critical temperature and the superconducting volume fraction were found. (b) Overview spectroscopy data taken with a W tip on $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ for different Cu doping amounts. With an increasing amount of Cu on the sample surface the bulk band gap shifts to lower energies.

deposition. With increasing Cu density we observed that the minimum of the dI/dU signal shifts systematically to lower energies. Although we cannot directly detect the top and bottom edges of the valence and conduction bands, respectively, it is quite reasonable to assume that the gap shifts accordingly. Obviously, the adatoms donate electrons to $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and lead to an n -type shift of the chemical potential, a behavior also observed for other $3d$ transition metals on topological insulators [35]. The bulk band gap shifts from the Fermi energy for the pristine sample by about 200 meV towards the occupied states, eventually resulting in a Fermi level that lies well inside the conduction band after four steps of doping. In spite of this significant electron doping, we could not detect any sign of superconductivity in Cu-doped $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ down to $T = 1.5$ K (high-resolution spectra not shown here).

The surface-related downward band bending effects discussed above possibly result in a surface which is effectively decoupled from the p -doped bulk. This decoupling could lead to scenario (iii), i.e., a surface critical temperature which is substantially lower than the corresponding bulk value. In fact, we could not detect any sign of surface superconductivity in our STS measurements down to the lowest possible sample temperature in our study, which was more than a factor of 6 lower than $T_C^{\text{bulk}} = 2.3$ K. As will be discussed below, the issue of a p - n junction which isolates the surface from the bulk might be overcome by p -type doping the $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ surface.

B. Nb-intercalated Bi_2Se_3

In an attempt to potentially detect superconductivity on an intrinsically n -doped superconducting topological insulator, we also investigated the intercalation material $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, which exhibits a bulk critical temperature $T_C^{\text{bulk}} = 3.2$ K [26]. Like what is shown in Fig. 2(b) for $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$, we could also confirm the existence of a topological surface state for $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ based on the linear dispersion of the LL peaks in a strong external magnetic field, resulting in a Dirac point energy $E_D = -(350 \pm 2)$ meV (not shown here).

Regarding superconductivity, Fig. 5(a) shows high-resolution STS data obtained with various tips. As already

described in the context of Fig. 3 for $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$, we also detected superconducting gaps on $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ with numerous tips, as shown by the black spectrum in Fig. 5(a). Temperature- and magnetic-field-dependent dI/dU spectra measured with such a tip are presented in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c), respectively. These data reveal that both the critical temperature and the critical field are very similar to the values detected with superconducting tips on $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ [see Figs. 3(b) and 3(c)], whereby the critical magnetic field is substantially higher than the bulk value $\mu_0 H_C^{\text{bulk}} \approx 200$ mT reported for $T = 1.5$ K [26]. Furthermore, we could not detect any hint of magnetic flux quanta or an Abrikosov lattice. These observations together with the fact that we found no gap with some particularly carefully handled STM tips indicate that for $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ as well the gap does not represent a property of the sample but is rather caused by a superconducting cluster which was unintentionally picked up by the tip.

C. Discussion

Our investigation of the electronic properties of the doped topological insulators $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ reveals some striking similarities. Quasiparticle interference and LLS data confirm that the surfaces of both materials exhibit a linearly dispersing topological surface state which is protected from backscattering. Although magnetic susceptibility measurements undoubtedly confirm the Meissner effect, suggesting a superconducting volume fraction close to 100%, our highly surface sensitive STS data indicate that the surface of both materials is normal conducting. Precise analysis of LLS data obtained on $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ reveals that, compared to earlier ARPES data [25], the surface is moderately n shifted, placing the chemical potential right in the band gap where the density of states is minimal. Doping the surface with Cu adatoms resulted in a very significant downwards (n -type) surface band bending which, due to the rather low carrier density near the surface, will reach rather deep into the bulk. Potentially, this downward band bending leads to a further decoupling of the n -doped surface from the p -doped $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ bulk. One possibility to overcome the issue of a p - n junction which isolates the surface




FIG. 5. (a) High-resolution STS data for Nb-intercalated Bi_2Se_3 acquired with a superconducting tip (1; black line) and a normal-metallic W tip (2; blue line) at a nominal temperature $T = 1.5$ K. While for tip 1 a pronounced gap is visible, no superconductivity can be recognized with tip 2. (b) Temperature-dependent normalized dI/dU signal taken on $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ with a superconducting tip. (c) Magnetic-field-dependent STS data taken with a superconducting tip. The gap can be recognized up to about 3 T. For higher fields additional variations caused by the fine structure of the Landau levels are visible. Stabilization parameters are $U = -5$ mV, $I = 200$ pA, $U_{\text{mod}} = 0.1$ mV.

from the bulk might be through “hole” doping the $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ surface with a suitable atom/molecule, such as C_{60} .

Another result of our study is that even gentle collisions of the STM tip with either of the two materials investigated here, i.e., $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, lead to superconducting tips. For both materials, temperature- and field-dependent measurements reveal very similar values for the critical temperature and the critical field of $T_C \approx 5$ K and $\mu_0 H_{C_2} \approx 5$ T, respectively. It appears that, even though both the surfaces of extended single crystals and the W tip are normal conducting themselves, the combinations of W plus the nanoparticles picked up by STM tip very reliably exhibit a superconducting gap which survives up to critical temperatures or external magnetic fields well above the corresponding bulk values. The large critical field could be due to a finite-size effect, but the enhancement in T_C cannot be explained in this way, thereby pointing to a new superconducting phase. In this regard, it is useful to mention that W has a tendency towards superconducting instability [38]. Future dedicated studies on nanoparticles will be necessary to test this hypothesis.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we analyzed the surface structural and electronic properties of $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ by low-temperature scanning tunneling spectroscopy. We could clearly demonstrate the existence of a topological surface state by Landau level spectroscopy and quasiparticle interference. The not-so-occasional observation of gapped tunneling spectra is ascribed to tips which were unintentionally coated with superconducting material. In these cases both the critical temperature and the critical magnetic field are about a factor of 3–10 higher than in bulk-sensitive experiments. In agreement with this hypothesis we could not find any hint of magnetic vortices in field-dependent measurements. We conclude that the topological surface state of cleaved $\text{Tl}_{0.6}\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ surfaces does not take part in the superconducting properties of these materials down to the lowest possible measurement temperatures of 300 mK.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The experimental work at Universität Würzburg was supported by DFG (through SFB 1170 “ToCoTronics”; project C02). The work at Cologne received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant Agreement No. 741121) and was also supported by DFG (CRC1238 “Control and Dynamics of Quantum Materials,” project A04). Y.S.H. acknowledges support from NSF Grant No. DMR-1255607. W.W. acknowledges support from the U.S. NSF Grant No. DMR-1506618. M.C.M.-V. and A.F.O. acknowledge support from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO).

- [1] G. E. Volovik and V. M. Yakovenko, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1**, 5263 (1989).
- [2] G. E. Volovik, *JETP Lett.* **55**, 368 (1992).
- [3] M. Sato and Y. Ando, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **80**, 076501 (2017).
- [4] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1083 (2008).
- [5] N. Read and D. Green, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 10267 (2000).
- [6] M. Stone and S.-B. Chung, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 014505 (2006).
- [7] A. R. Akhmerov, J. Nilsson, and C. W. J. Beenakker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 216404 (2009).
- [8] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 096407 (2008).
- [9] M.-X. Wang, C. Liu, J.-P. Xu, F. Yang, L. Miao, M.-Y. Yao, C. L. Gao, C. Shen, X. Ma, X. Chen, Z.-A. Xu, Y. Liu, S.-C. Zhang, D. Qian, J.-F. Jia, and Q.-K. Xue, *Science* **336**, 52 (2012).
- [10] W. Dai, A. Richardella, R. Du, W. Zhao, X. Liu, C. X. Liu, S.-H. Huang, R. Sankar, F. Chou, N. Samarth, and Q. Li, *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 7631 (2017).
- [11] J.-P. Xu, M.-X. Wang, Z. L. Liu, J.-F. Ge, X. Yang, C. Liu, Z. A. Xu, D. Guan, C. L. Gao, D. Qian, Y. Liu, Q.-H. Wang, F.-C. Zhang, Q.-K. Xue, and J.-F. Jia, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 017001 (2015).
- [12] S. Nadj-Perge, I. K. Drozdov, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 020407 (2013).
- [13] S. Nadj-Perge, I. K. Drozdov, J. Li, H. Chen, S. Jeon, J. Seo, A. H. MacDonald, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, *Science* **346**, 602 (2014).
- [14] M. Ruby, F. Pientka, Y. Peng, F. von Oppen, B. W. Heinrich, and K. J. Franke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 197204 (2015).
- [15] Y. S. Hor, A. J. Williams, J. G. Checkelsky, P. Roushan, J. Seo, Q. Xu, H. W. Zandbergen, A. Yazdani, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 057001 (2010).
- [16] B. J. Lawson, Y. S. Hor, and L. Li, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 226406 (2012).
- [17] S. Sasaki, M. Kriener, K. Segawa, K. Yada, Y. Tanaka, M. Sato, and Y. Ando, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 217001 (2011).
- [18] N. Levy, T. Zhang, J. Ha, F. Sharifi, A. A. Talin, Y. Kuk, and J. A. Stroscio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 117001 (2013).
- [19] K. Matano, M. Kriener, K. Segawa, Y. Ando, and G.-q. Zheng, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 852 (2016).
- [20] S. Yonezawa, K. Tajiri, S. Nakata, Y. Nagai, Z. Wang, K. Segawa, Y. Ando, and Y. Maeno, *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 123 (2017).
- [21] Z. Liu, X. Yao, J. Shao, M. Zuo, L. Pi, S. Tan, C. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 10512 (2015).
- [22] Shruti, V. K. Maurya, P. Neha, P. Srivastava, and S. Patnaik, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 020506(R) (2015).
- [23] G. Du, J. Shao, X. Yang, Z. Du, D. Fang, J. Wang, K. Ran, J. Wen, C. Zhang, H. Yang, Y. Zhang, and H.-H. Wen, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14466 (2017).
- [24] Z. Wang, A. A. Taskin, T. Frölich, M. Braden, and Y. Ando, *Chem. Mater.* **28**, 779 (2016).
- [25] C. X. Trang, Z. Wang, D. Takane, K. Nakayama, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, A. A. Taskin, and Y. Ando, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 241103 (2016).
- [26] Y. Qiu, K. N. Sanders, J. Dai, J. E. Medvedeva, W. Wu, P. Ghaemi, T. Vojta, and Y. S. Hor, *arXiv:1512.03519*.
- [27] T. Asaba, B. J. Lawson, C. Tinsman, L. Chen, P. Corbae, G. Li, Y. Qiu, Y. S. Hor, L. Fu, and L. Li, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 011009 (2017).
- [28] M. Kriener, K. Segawa, Z. Ren, S. Sasaki, S. Wada, S. Kuwabata, and Y. Ando, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 054513 (2011).
- [29] Z. Wang, K. Segawa, S. Sasaki, A. A. Taskin, and Y. Ando, *APL Mater.* **3**, 083302 (2015).
- [30] T. Bathon, S. Achilli, P. Sessi, V. A. Golyashov, K. A. Kokh, O. E. Tereshchenko, and M. Bode, *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 2183 (2016).
- [31] Z. Alpichshev, J. G. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, I. R. Fisher, Y. L. Chen, Z. X. Shen, A. Fang, and A. Kapitulnik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 016401 (2010).
- [32] Y. Okada, W. Zhou, C. Dhital, D. Walkup, Y. Ran, Z. Wang, S. D. Wilson, and V. Madhavan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 166407 (2012).
- [33] P. Roushan, J. Seo, C. V. Parker, Y. S. Hor, D. Hsieh, D. Qian, A. Richardella, M. Z. Hasan, R. J. Cava, and A. Yazdani, *Nature (London)* **460**, 1106 (2009).
- [34] P. Sessi, M. M. Otrokov, T. Bathon, M. G. Vergniory, S. S. Tsirkin, K. A. Kokh, O. E. Tereshchenko, E. V. Chulkov, and M. Bode, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 161407 (2013).
- [35] P. Sessi, F. Reis, T. Bathon, K. A. Kokh, O. E. Tereshchenko, and M. Bode, *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5349 (2014).
- [36] L. Fu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 266801 (2009).
- [37] S. Wilfert, M. Schmitt, H. Schmidt, T. Mauerer, P. Sessi, H. Wang, Q. Mao, M. Fang, and M. Bode, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 014514 (2018).
- [38] S. Basavaiah and S. R. Pollack, *J. Appl. Phys.* **39**, 5548 (1968).