New hints for ALPs from GRB 221009A and prospects for COSI

Fabrizio Tavecchio & Giorgio Galanti





Axion-like particles (ALPs)

- Predicted by String Theory
- Very light particles $a (m_a < 10^{-8} \text{ eV})$
- Spin o

٠

٠

٠

- **Interaction with** two **photons** (coupling $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$)
- Interactions with other particles discarded
- Possible candidate for dark matter
- Induce the **change of the polarization state** of photons

Two photons



 $\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma} = g_{a\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} a$

In an external B field

$$\gamma \sim a$$

Photon-ALP oscillations



ALPs: phenomenology

The ALP Lagrangian:



UL on polarization of WD

Dessert et al. 2022

Only the "transverse" B-field relevant for conversion

Only 1/2 of unpolarízed photons couple to ALPs

ALPs: phenomenology



$$E_L \simeq 25 \left| \left(\frac{m}{10^{-10} \,\mathrm{eV}} \right)^2 - 0.13 \left(\frac{n_e}{\mathrm{cm}^{-3}} \right) \right| \left(\frac{G}{B_T} \right) \left(\frac{M}{10^{11} \,\mathrm{GeV}} \right) \mathrm{eV}$$

ALPs as cold DM



ALPs as cold DM



Because of the low mass, a potential ALPs component suitable to play the role of cold DM cannot be produced by thermal processes but by other mechanisms, in particular the so-called vacuum-realignment mechanism, through which they are produced as a coherent state of many, extremely nonrelativistic particles in the form of a classical, spatially coherent oscillating field.

For suitable values of unconstrained and free parameters of ALPs (see Arias et al. 2012), the DM region fully includes the area where the effects that we intend to study are relevant.

ALPs in VHE astrophysics

- ALPs very elusive in laboratory experiments (low coupling) → astrophysical environment is the best opportunity to study ALPs and ALP effects (for free)
- Photon/ALP beam in the VHE band E >> m_a
- For E < 10 GeV \rightarrow negligible photon absorption due to EBL
 - Photon-ALP interaction produces effective photon absorption
- For E > 10 GeV \rightarrow photons absorbed by EBL ($\gamma \gamma \rightarrow e^+ e^-$), **ALPs** are **not absorbed**
 - Photon-ALP oscillations increase medium transparency

Propagation effects: EBL



The extragalactic background light consists of all photons emitted by stars (and reprocessed by dust) during the Universe history e.g. Dwek & Krennrich 2013

Propagation effects: EBL



The extragalactic background light consists of all photons emitted by stars (and reprocessed by dust) during the Universe history e.g. Dwek & Krennrich 2013

Propagation effects: EBL & ALPs



Proper modeling of B fields is crucial

Propagation effects: EBL



Searches for spectral anomalies of blazars is one of the key observational challenges of the Cherenkov Telescope Array CTA consortium 2021

Polarization effects

e.g. Raffelt & Stodolsky 1988

Blazars as a case study

Galanti, Roncadelli & Tavecchio 2023

 $g_{a\gamma\gamma} = 5 \times 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{GeV^{-1}}$



Initial polarization 20% Large >60% polarization observed (leptonic model). Hadronic model predicts even higher, (difficult to explain by astrophysics)

Only slightly dependent on mass



GRB 221009A

An unusually bright and long-lasting GRB. Fluence 0.2 erg cm-2 One of the closest gamma-ray bursts (z=0.151) and among the most energetic and luminous bursts

e.g. Burns et al. 2023



Fermi-LAT

LHAASO detected VHE photons in correspondence with the burst. One photon energy reaching 18 TeV (details still unknown) (LHAASO Coll. 2022)



EBL absorption

EBL	$15\mathrm{TeV}$	$18\mathrm{TeV}$	$100{\rm TeV}$	$251\mathrm{TeV}$
\mathbf{FR}	$\tau_{\rm CP} P_{\rm CP} \\ 10.1 \ 4 \times 10^{-5}$	$\tau_{\rm CP} P_{\rm CP} = 14.1 \ 7 \times 10^{-7}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_{\rm CP} & P_{\rm CP} \\ 333 & 2 \times 10^{-145} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cc} \tau_{\rm CP} & P_{\rm CP} \\ 15411 & \sim 0 \end{array}$
${ m G} { m SL}$	9.4 8×10^{-5} 12.8 3×10^{-6}	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 246 & 2 \times 10^{-107} \\ 220 & 3 \times 10^{-96} \end{array}$	$9502 \sim 0$ >9251 ~ 0

 τ_{CP} -> optical depth; P_{CP} -> photon survival probability FR -> EBL model by Franceschini & Rodighiero 2017 G -> EBL model by Gilmore et al. 2012 SL -> EBL model by Saldana-Lopez et al. 2021

Observed flux:
$$F_{obs} = F_0 e^{-\tau}$$

Excessive Fo for all (non-exotic) radiative scenarios

EBL absorption



Zhang et al. 2023

y: photon a: ALP

absorption: $\gamma + \gamma_{\text{soft}} \rightarrow e^{\dagger}$ γ_{soft} : EBL

$B_{host} = O(10) \mu G$

Host galaxy:

G. Galanti, L. Nava, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio, arXiv: 2210.05659.

Soft

A. J. Levan et al., arXiv: 2302.07761.

 $B_{\text{GRB}} = O(1-10^4) \text{ G} \text{ MM}$

$a_{ay} = g_{ayy} E B a$

B: external magnetic field

g_{ayy}: yya coupling

E: y electric field

- B_{ext} = O(1) nG

GRB:

G. Galanti, L. Nava, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio, arXiv: 2210.05659.

Milky Way:

D. Horns, L. Maccione, M. Meyer et al., Phys. Rev. D, 86, 075024 (2012) [arXiv: 1207.0776].

G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, C. Evoli, MNRAS 487, 123 (2019) [arXiv: 1811.03548].

B_{MW} = O(1-5) μG

Extragalactic space:

G. Galanti and M. Roncadelli, Phys. Rev. D 98, 043018 (2018) [arXiv: 1804.09443].

G. Galanti and M. Roncadelli, JHEAp, 20 1-17 (2018) [arXiv: 1805.12055].

EBL absorption with ALPs



Galanti, Nava, Roncadelli & Tavecchio 2023

Assuming a starburst-like host galaxy. Similar results for a spiral host Absorption can be safely reduced to values manageable by emission models

Polarization signal



(Very) preliminary results



Prospects for COSI



Zhang et al. 2023

Prospects for COSI





Expectations

About 40 GRBs with MDP < 50% About 10 with MDP < 5-10%

To be refined by using state-of-the-art population models...

Thank you!