

Indirect Search for scotogenic WIMP Dark Matter



Laura Eisenberger

University of Würzburg

Dark Matter Workshop Würzburg 2023



From chandra.as.utexas.edu





- WIMP: Weakly Interacting Massive Particle
- **Scotogenic** models: additional Z₂ symmetry (SM particles: even, new particles: odd)
- T1-2-A' model: explains neutrino masses, the muon anomalous magnetic moment and consistent with limits for cLFV decays

new WIMP DM type (**m≈1.1 TeV**) consistent with limits from direct DM detection experiments

A. Alvarez et al.: Leptogenesis and muon g-2 in a scotogenic model: <u>arXiv:2301.08485</u>





Detection of Annihilation Signals

- Observed emission: $\frac{dN}{dA \, dt \, dE} = \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2m_{\gamma}^2} \frac{dN}{dE} \frac{f}{4\pi} \langle J \rangle_{\Delta\Omega}$
- From particle physics side (MicrOMEGAs): cross section (≈9.5x10⁻²⁷ cm³/s), mass≈1.1 TeV, annihilation spectra
- From astrophysics side: boost factor f, (angle-averaged) J-factor:
 - \rightarrow los-integral over squared DM density distribution (uncertainties!)

$$\langle J \rangle_{\Delta\Omega} = \frac{1}{\Delta\Omega} \int_{\Delta\Omega} \int_{los} \rho^2(\psi, s) \, ds \, d\Omega$$

Julius-Maximilians-UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG

DM Density Profiles



- **Cusped** models suggested by numerical simulations of dark halo formation (Navarro et al. 1997, Moore et al. 1998)
- Evidence that MW halo is **cored** (Evans 2000)
- \rightarrow cuspy halo problem
- Boost factor due to sub-halo clumping (~ 10² – 10³, Springel et al. 2008)



Prediction of Multiwavelength SEDs

- Very-high-energy photons from pion decay
- Electron/Positron spectrum
 → secondary emission (IC, synchrotron, ...)
 - → modelling of diffusive transport and radiative losses in the halo plasma
- ightarrow Comparison with observational limits
- ☐ Target selection: M31, dwarf galaxies, GC ...
- ⇒ Discrimination from source/background signals













Julius-Maximilians-UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG



Inverse Compton Scattering



 Up-scattering of interstellar photons by relativistic e⁻/e⁺

•
$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE} = \frac{1}{E} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{m_{\chi}^2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \langle J \rangle_{\Delta\Omega} \times \int_{m_e}^{m_{\chi}} dE' \frac{P(E,E')}{b(E')} \int_{E'}^{m_{\chi}} d\tilde{E} \frac{dN_e}{d\tilde{E}}$$

• Naima: Python package for the computation of non-thermal radiation







Neutrinos from DM annihilation







Neutrinos from DM annihilation



Julius-Maximilians-UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG



name log10J distance Stacking of Dwarf Spheroidals Carina 18.03 105 76 18.92 Draco 147 Fornax 18.27 254 Leo 1 17.80 10-13 17.41 233 Leo II П° 18.73 86 Sculptor Inverse Compton CMB Sextans 18.04 10^{-14} Synchrotron 19,18 76 Irsa Minor 16.64 Boötes I 66 $E^2 d\Phi/dE [erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$ $B \approx 1 \text{nG} - 6 \mu \text{G} \left(\frac{8 \text{ kpc}}{d}\right)^3$ 44 Berenices 18.64 10-15 -17.27 218 Venatici 17.63 160 Canes Venatici I 16.79 133 Hercules 10-16 16.56 154 13 Leo IV 178 Leo V 16.82 14 417 15 Leo T 17.28 19.39 23 16 Segue 1 10^{-17} 35 17 17.06 Segue 2 Ursa Maior 18.47 97 18 32 19 19.38 10^{-18} 20 19.29 38 Willman 1 30 21 18.72 19.10 57 22 Tucana II 10-19 79 18.64 23 10^{-10} 10^{-7} 10^{-4} 10^{-1} 10² 105 24 16.56 134 Photon energy E [eV] 25 17.90 182 Pisces II

108

1011

- most extreme DM dominated objects $\frac{1}{L} \approx 10 - 100$ M
- low astrophysical backgrounds
- SL radiation fields to be added

26

Grus I 17.96

120





Summary and Outlook

DM candidate: scotogenic WIMP (m_x = 1.1 TeV)



- Annihilation into gamma-rays + electron/positrons + neutrinos —
- DM Contribution to SED:

 \rightarrow Prompt (π^{0}) + Inverse Compton + Synchrotron

• **Template model** for varying parameters:

J factor, distance, radiation fields, magnetic field

• Comparison with observational limits/data for different galaxies