

Characters of the conformal algebra in higher-spin holography

Xavier BEKAERT

Institut Denis Poisson (Tours, France)

1 August 2018 @ Wuerzburg

From joint works with Thomas Basile & Euihun Joung

- JHEP **1807** (2018) 009 [arxiv:1802.03232 [hep-th]]
- To appear soon arXiv:1808.xxxxx [hep-th]

- 1 Higher-spin holography and representation theory
 - Introduction
 - Outline & Summary
- 2 Characters of conformal higher-spin gravity
 - Conformal higher-spin gravity
 - Classification of irreducible representations
 - Computation of characters
 - Regularization & Cancellation
- 3 Conclusion and perspectives
 - Main results
 - General conclusion
 - Perspectives

Higher-spin holography and Representation theory

Higher-spin holography

Basic idea behind the conjectured duality:

[Konstein-Vasiliev-Zaikin, Sezgin-Sundell, Sundborg, Witten, Mikhailov]

Free (or integrable) CFTs have an infinite number of higher-order conformal symmetries.

Higher-spin holography

Basic idea behind the conjectured duality:

[Konstein-Vasiliev-Zaikin, Sezgin-Sundell, Sundborg, Witten, Mikhailov]

Free (or integrable) CFTs have an infinite number of higher-order conformal symmetries.

Noether theorem
 \implies

Their spectrum contains an infinite tower of traceless conserved currents with unbounded spin (including spin two).

Higher-spin holography

Basic idea behind the conjectured duality:

[Konstein-Vasiliev-Zaikin, Sezgin-Sundell, Sundborg, Witten, Mikhailov]

Free (or integrable) CFTs have an infinite number of higher-order conformal symmetries.

Noether theorem
 \implies

Their spectrum contains an infinite tower of traceless conserved currents with unbounded spin (including spin two).

AdS/CFT dictionary
 \implies

Free (or integrable) CFTs should be dual to “higher-spin gravity” theories whose spectrum contains an infinite tower of gauge fields with unbounded spin (including spin two).

Higher-spin holography

Remarks:

- Although the boundary dual is free, the n -point correlators are non-vanishing
⇒ The n -point interaction vertices in the bulk are non-vanishing

Higher-spin holography

Remarks:

- Although the boundary dual is free, the n -point correlators are non-vanishing
 - ⇒ The n -point interaction vertices in the bulk are non-vanishing
- Provides the simplest examples of AdS/CFT correspondence
 - ⇒ These holographic dualities
 - might be explicitly provable (even with mathematical level of rigor)
 - are Gaussian starting points for describing gravity duals to interacting CFTs with softly broken higher-spin symmetries

Higher-spin holography

Remarks:

- Although the boundary dual is free, the n -point correlators are non-vanishing
 - ⇒ The n -point interaction vertices in the bulk are non-vanishing
- Provides the simplest examples of AdS/CFT correspondence
 - ⇒ These holographic dualities
 - might be explicitly provable (even with mathematical level of rigor)
 - are Gaussian starting points for describing gravity duals to interacting CFTs with softly broken higher-spin symmetries
- Free CFTs have no $1/N$ corrections
 - ⇒ Bulk higher-spin theories should be quantum gravity theories which are UV-finite in the strongest possible form: without quantum correction

Higher-spin holography

Simplest examples: Free vector models

[Klebanov-Polyakov, Sezgin-Sundell, ...]

Boundary CFT_d:

Composite operators in the singlet sector, made of free fields in the vector representation of some internal compact symmetry group.

Higher-spin holography

Simplest examples: Free vector models

[Klebanov-Polyakov, Sezgin-Sundell, ...]

Boundary CFT_d:

Composite operators in the singlet sector, made of free fields in the vector representation of some internal compact symmetry group.

Vector models

⇒ all singlet primary operators are bilinear in the fundamental fields, among which an infinite tower of conserved currents.

⇒ The spectrum is relatively simple.

Higher-spin holography

Simplest examples: Free vector models

[Klebanov-Polyakov, Sezgin-Sundell, ...]

Boundary CFT_d:

Composite operators in the singlet sector, made of free fields in the vector representation of some internal compact symmetry group.

Vector models

⇒ all singlet primary operators are bilinear in the fundamental fields, among which an infinite tower of conserved currents.

⇒ The spectrum is relatively simple.

Bulk higher-spin theory around AdS_{d+1}:

Spectrum contains an infinite tower of massless higher-spin fields.

Higher-spin holography

Higher-spin symmetry is so huge that the dynamics is essentially fixed by the symmetries.

⇒ The representation theory of the conformal algebra already knows a lot about higher-spin gravity (linearized spectrum, symmetry algebra, vacuum one-loop quantities, etc)

Outline & Summary

- 1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality

Outline & Summary

1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- CFT_d elementary fields
 - *Singleton* = ultrashort irrep [Dirac (1963)]
 - *Higher-spin algebra* = enveloping algebra of singleton symmetries [Eastwood (2002) Vasiliev (2003)]

Outline & Summary

1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- CFT_d elementary fields
 - *Singleton* = ultrashort irrep [Dirac (1963)]
 - *Higher-spin algebra* = enveloping algebra of singleton symmetries [Eastwood (2002) Vasiliev (2003)]
- AdS_{d+1} massless fields
 - with *Dirichlet* boundary condition, dual to *Conserved currents* (unitary, short irreps)
 - with *Neumann* boundary condition, dual to *Shadow fields* (non-unitary irreps)

Outline & Summary

1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- CFT_d elementary fields
 - *Singleton* = ultrashort irrep [Dirac (1963)]
 - *Higher-spin algebra* = enveloping algebra of singleton symmetries [Eastwood (2002) Vasiliev (2003)]
- AdS_{d+1} massless fields
 - with *Dirichlet* boundary condition, dual to *Conserved currents* (unitary, short irreps)
 - with *Neumann* boundary condition, dual to *Shadow fields* (non-unitary irreps)

Representation theory of higher-spin algebra:

- *Fundamental* = Singleton (Free CFT)
- *Adjoint* = Higher-spin algebra (Symmetries)
- *Twisted adjoint* (Spectrum)
= Singleton \otimes Singleton (Boundary, Bilinears)
= \oplus Massless fields with Dirichlet bdy cond (Bulk)
[Flato, Fronsdal (1978)]

Outline & Summary

- 1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality
- 2 Conformal characters & Partition functions

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- Classification of irreducible (generalized) Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [Gavrilik, Klimyk, 1975; Shaynkman, Tipunin, Vasiliev, 2004]
- Character formulae of Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [F. Dolan, 2005]

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- Classification of irreducible (generalized) Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [Gavrilik, Klimyk, 1975; Shaynkman, Tipunin, Vasiliev, 2004]
- Character formulae of Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [F. Dolan, 2005]
- Canonical partition function on $S^1 \times S^{d-1}$ as an $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -character [Gibbons, Perry, Pope, 2006]

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- Classification of irreducible (generalized) Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [Gavrilik, Klimyk, 1975; Shaynkman, Tipunin, Vasiliev, 2004]
- Character formulae of Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [F. Dolan, 2005]
- Canonical partition function on $S^1 \times S^{d-1}$ as an $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -character [Gibbons, Perry, Pope, 2006]

\implies Characters & partition functions of spin- s **CFT_d fields**:

- *Current* (irreducible module)
- *Shadow* (ir/reducible for odd/even d)
- *Fradkin-Tseytlin* (irreducible but only defined for even d)

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- Classification of irreducible (generalized) Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [Gavrilik, Klimyk, 1975; Shaynkman, Tipunin, Vasiliev, 2004]
- Character formulae of Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [F. Dolan, 2005]
- Canonical partition function on $S^1 \times S^{d-1}$ as an $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -character [Gibbons, Perry, Pope, 2006]

\implies Characters & partition functions of spin- s **CFT_d fields**:

- *Current* (irreducible module)
- *Shadow* (ir/reducible for odd/even d)
- *Fradkin-Tseytlin* (irreducible but only defined for even d)

$$\boxed{\text{Fradkin-Tseytlin} = \text{Shadow} / \text{Current}}$$

classical (tree) relation [XB, Grigoriev, 2012]

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- Classification of irreducible (generalized) Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [Gavrilik, Klimyk, 1975; Shaynkman, Tipunin, Vasiliev, 2004]
- Character formulae of Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [F. Dolan, 2005]
- Canonical partition function on thermal AdS_{d+1} as an $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -character [Gibbons, Perry, Pope, 2006]

\implies Characters & partition functions of spin- s **CFT_d fields** dual to **AdS_{d+1} massless fields**:

- *Current* dual to *Dirichlet* behavior (unitary)
- *Shadow* dual to *Neumann* behavior (non-unitary)

$$\boxed{\text{Fradkin-Tseytlin} = \text{Shadow} / \text{Current} = \text{Neumann} / \text{Dirichlet}}$$

classical (tree) relation [XB, Grigoriev, 2012]

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**

Representation theory of algebra $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$:

- Classification of irreducible (generalized) Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [Gavrilik, Klimyk, 1975; Shaynkman, Tipunin, Vasiliev, 2004]
- Character formulae of Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules [F. Dolan, 2005]
- Canonical partition function on thermal AdS_{d+1} as an $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -character [Gibbons, Perry, Pope, 2006]

\implies Characters & partition functions of spin- s **CFT_d fields** dual to **AdS_{d+1} massless fields**:

- *Current* dual to *Dirichlet* behavior (unitary)
- *Shadow* dual to *Neumann* behavior (non-unitary)

$$\boxed{\text{Fradkin-Tseytlin} = \text{Neumann / Dirichlet}}$$

1-loop relation [Giombi, Klebanov, Pufu, Safdi, Tarnopolsky, 2013]

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**
- 3 **Conformal higher-spin gravity**

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**
- 3 **Conformal higher-spin gravity**
 - **Free theory** [Fradkin, Tseytlin, 1985]
 - Higher-spin ($s > 2$) generalization of ($d = 4$)
Maxwell photon ($s=1$) and Weyl graviton ($s=2$)
 - Kinetic operator with $2s + d - 4$ derivatives (local for even d)
 - *Non-unitary irreducible representation* of conformal algebra (for $s > 1$
or $d > 4$)

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Conformal characters & Partition functions**
- 3 **Conformal higher-spin gravity**
 - **Free theory** [Fradkin, Tseytlin, 1985]
 - Higher-spin ($s > 2$) generalization of ($d = 4$) Maxwell photon ($s = 1$) and Weyl graviton ($s = 2$)
 - Kinetic operator with $2s + d - 4$ derivatives (local for even d)
 - *Non-unitary irreducible representation* of conformal algebra (for $s > 1$ or $d > 4$)
 - **Interacting theory** [Tseytlin, 2002; Segal, 2003; XB, Joung, Mourad, 2011; Giombi, Klebanov, Pufu, Safdi, Tarnopolsky, 2013]
 - *classical theory* as induced gravity: logarithmically divergent piece of the 1-loop effective action of a conformal scalar field minimally coupled to a background of higher-spin shadow fields
 - *quantum theory*: vanishing Weyl anomaly? (and higher-spin versions?)
 - *holographic duality*: logarithmically divergent piece of the on-shell action of the bulk higher-spin gravity

Outline & Summary

- 1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality
- 2 Characters & Partition functions
- 3 Regularizations & Cancellations

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Characters & Partition functions**
- 3 **Regularizations & Cancellations**

Sum over the infinite tower of all integer spins

- **Regularization** à la Zeta [Giombi, Klebanov, Safdi, 2014]

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Characters & Partition functions**
- 3 **Regularizations & Cancellations**

Sum over the infinite tower of all integer spins

- **Regularization** à la Zeta [Giombi, Klebanov, Safdi, 2014]
- **Cancellations for unbroken higher-spin gravity** (Dirichlet boundary condition) at 1-loop of
 - Vacuum bubble diagrams (for boundary S^d)
[Giombi, Klebanov, 2013; Giombi, Klebanov, Safdi, 2014; ...]
 - Casimir energy (for boundary $\mathbb{R} \times S^{d-1}$)
[Giombi, Klebanov, Tseytlin, 2014; ...]

are checks (at 1-loop) that unbroken higher-spin gravity might be quantum exact.

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Characters & Partition functions**
- 3 **Regularizations & Cancellations**

Sum over the infinite tower of all integer spins

- **Regularization** à la Zeta [Giombi, Klebanov, Safdi, 2014]
- **Cancellations for conformal higher-spin gravity** at 1-loop of
 - type-A Weyl anomaly (Euler density a -coefficient)
[Giombi, Klebanov, Pufu, Safdi, Tarnopolsky, 2013; Tseytlin, 2013]
 - Casimir energy
[Beccaria, XB, Tseytlin, 2014; ...]

suggest that (bosonic) conformal higher-spin gravity might remain consistent at quantum level (like $\mathcal{N} = 4$ conformal supergravity).

Outline & Summary

- 1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality
- 2 Characters & Partition functions
- 3 Regularizations & Cancellations

Sum over the infinite tower of all integer spins

- **Regularization** à la Zeta [Giombi, Klebanov, Safdi, 2014]
- **Cancellations for conformal higher-spin gravity** at 1-loop of
 - type-A Weyl anomaly (Euler density a -coefficient)
[Giombi, Klebanov, Pufu, Safdi, Tarnopolsky, 2013; Tseytlin, 2013]
 - Casimir energy
[Beccaria, XB, Tseytlin, 2014; ...]

suggest that (bosonic) conformal higher-spin gravity might remain consistent at quantum level (like $\mathcal{N} = 4$ conformal supergravity).

Caveat: The type-B Weyl anomaly (Weyl-squared c -coefficient) does not seem to share this remarkable cancellation property but some assumptions in the computation remain questionable [Tseytlin, 2013] e.g. the factorization of the kinetic operator in any Einstein background [Metsaev, 2014; Nutma, Taronna, 2014]

Outline & Summary

- 1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality
- 2 Characters & Partition functions
- 3 Regularizations & Cancellations

Sum over the infinite tower of all integer spins

- Regularization of higher-spin algebra character
[Basile, XB, Joung, 2018]

$$\text{Adjoint} \sim \text{Singleton} \otimes \text{Singleton}^*$$

requires symmetrization over all Cartan generators.

Outline & Summary

- 1 **Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality**
- 2 **Characters & Partition functions**
- 3 **Regularizations & Cancellations**

Sum over the infinite tower of all integer spins

- **Regularization of higher-spin algebra character**
[Basile, XB, Joung, 2018]

$$\text{Adjoint} \sim \text{Singleton} \otimes \text{Singleton}^*$$

requires symmetrization over all Cartan generators.

- **Cancellations for conformal higher-spin gravity**
[Basile, XB, Joung, 2018]

$$\text{Linearized spectrum} = \text{Symmetry algebra}$$

suggests that the theory might be somewhat “topological”
(asymptotic charges as only dynamical degrees of freedom).

Outline & Summary

- 1 Main characters in higher-spin holographic duality
- 2 Characters & Partition functions
- 3 Regularizations & Cancellations

Sum over the infinite tower of all integer spins

- **Regularization of higher-spin algebra character**
[Basile, XB, Joung, 2018]

$$\text{Adjoint} \sim \text{Singleton} \otimes \text{Singleton}^*$$

requires symmetrization over all Cartan generators.

- **Cancellations for conformal higher-spin gravity**
[Basile, XB, Joung, 2018]

$$\text{Linearized spectrum} = \text{Symmetry algebra}$$

suggests that the theory might be somewhat “topological”
(asymptotic charges as only dynamical degrees of freedom).

Caveat: relies on some regularization prescription (consistent with vanishing of type-A Weyl anomaly and Casimir energy)

Conformal higher-spin gravity

Free theory: off-shell

The free conformal spin- s gauge theory is described *off-shell* by the

- **Shadow field**, i.e. conformal primary field h_s that is a symmetric tensor field of scale dimension $\Delta(h_s) = 2 - s$ and rank s , quotiented by the gauge transformation:

$$\delta h_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_s} = \partial_{(\mu_1} \varepsilon_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_s)} - \eta_{(\mu_1 \mu_2} \alpha_{\mu_3 \dots \mu_s)}$$

- **Higher-spin Weyl tensor**, i.e. conformal primary field C_s that is the gauge-invariant irreducible tensor field of scale dimension $\Delta(C_s) = 2$ labelled by a rectangular Young diagram with rows of length s and built out of s derivatives of the shadow field:

$$C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s, \nu_1 \dots \nu_s} = \partial_{\nu_1} \dots \partial_{\nu_s} h_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} + \dots$$

- **Fradkin-Tseytlin-Segal action** [$d = 4$: Fradkin & Tseytlin, 1985; $d > 4$: Segal, 2002]

$$S[h_s] = \int h_s \square^{\frac{2s+d-4}{2}} h_s + \dots = (-1)^s \int C_s \square^{\frac{d-4}{2}} C_s + \dots$$

Free theory: on-shell

The free conformal spin- s gauge theory is described *on-shell* by the

- **Higher-spin Bach tensor**, i.e. conformal primary field B_s that is the gauge-invariant symmetric tensor field of scale dimension $\Delta(B_s) = s + d - 2$ built out of $s + d - 4$ derivatives of the Weyl-like tensor:

$$B_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} = \partial^{\nu_1} \dots \partial^{\nu_s} \square^{\frac{d-4}{2}} C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s, \nu_1 \dots \nu_s} + \dots$$

- **Fradkin-Tseytlin field**, i.e. shadow field subject to the higher-spin Bach equation $B_s = 0$.

Interacting theory

Conformal higher-spin gravity as induced higher-spin gravity

[Tseytlin, 2002; Segal, 2003; XB, Joung, Mourad, 2011;
Giombi, Klebanov, Pufu, Safdi, Tarnopolsky, 2013]

Interacting theory

The interacting conformal higher-spin theory is described *off-shell* by the

- **Free scalar singleton**, i.e. free conformal scalar field φ of scale dimension $\Delta(\varphi) = d/2 - 1$ minimally coupled via

$$S_M[\varphi; h] = \int d^d x \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 + \sum_s h_s j_s \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \varphi(\partial^2 + \hat{h})\varphi$$

to a background of higher-spin shadow fields through the

- **Conformal currents**, i.e. conformal primary field j_s that is a traceless conserved symmetric current of scale dimension $\Delta(j_s) = s + d - 2$ and rank s , bilinear in the conformal scalar field

$$j_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} = \varphi \partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_s} \varphi + \dots$$

- **Induced action**: logarithmically divergent piece of the effective action (in even d)

$$W_\Lambda[h] = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_\Lambda \log(\partial^2 + \hat{h}) = \log(\Lambda/m^2) S_W[h] + \text{Laurent series in } \Lambda$$

Classification of irreducible representations

Classification

- Primary field on $\mathbb{R} \times S^{d-1}$ with all its descendants corresponds to a (generalized) Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -module $\mathcal{V}(\Delta, \vec{s})$ labelled by the scaling dimension Δ and the $\mathfrak{so}(d)$ -spin \vec{s} .
- Exhaustive classification of (ir)reducible Verma $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules and submodules [Gavrilik, Klimyk, 1975; Shaynkman, Tipunin, Vasiliev, 2004]
 \implies Recursive computation of all relevant $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$ -modules

Odd dimension d

$d = 3$ realizations of irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(3, 2)$ -modules

CFT	Irreducible module	Verma module description
Conservation law	$\mathcal{D}(s + 2, s - 1)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 2, s - 1)$
Conformal current	$\mathcal{D}(s + 1, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 1, s)/\mathcal{D}(s + 2, s - 1)$

Conservation law $\equiv \partial_{\mu_1} j^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_s} = 0$

Odd dimension d

$d = 3$ realizations of irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(3, 2)$ -modules

CFT	Irreducible module	Verma module description
Conservation law	$\mathcal{D}(s + 2, s - 1)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 2, s - 1)$
Conformal current	$\mathcal{D}(s + 1, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 1, s) / \mathcal{D}(s + 2, s - 1)$
Pure gauge shadow	$\mathcal{D}(2 - s, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(2 - s, s) / \mathcal{D}(s + 1, s)$

Higher-spin Cotton tensor $\partial^{2s-1} h_s = 0 \Leftrightarrow h_s = \partial \varepsilon_{s-1} + \eta_2 \alpha_{s-2}$

Odd dimension d

$d = 3$ realizations of irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(3, 2)$ -modules

CFT	Irreducible module	Verma module description
Conservation law	$\mathcal{D}(s + 2, s - 1)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 2, s - 1)$
Conformal current	$\mathcal{D}(s + 1, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 1, s) / \mathcal{D}(s + 2, s - 1)$
Pure gauge shadow	$\mathcal{D}(2 - s, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(2 - s, s) / \mathcal{D}(s + 1, s)$
Conformal Killing	$\mathcal{D}(1 - s, s - 1)$	$\mathcal{V}(1 - s, s - 1) / \mathcal{D}(2 - s, s)$

Conformal Killing tensor $\equiv \partial_{(\mu_1} \varepsilon_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_s)} = \eta_{(\mu_1 \mu_2} \alpha_{\mu_3 \dots \mu_s)}$

Odd dimension d

$d = 3$ realizations of irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(3, 2)$ -modules

CFT	Irreducible module	Verma module description
Conservation law	$\mathcal{D}(s + 2, s - 1)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 2, s - 1)$
Conformal current	$\mathcal{D}(s + 1, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 1, s)/\mathcal{D}(s + 2, s - 1)$
Pure gauge shadow	$\mathcal{D}(2 - s, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(2 - s, s)/\mathcal{D}(s + 1, s)$
Conformal Killing	$\mathcal{D}(1 - s, s - 1)$	$\mathcal{V}(1 - s, s - 1)/\mathcal{D}(2 - s, s)$
CFT	Reducible module	Contragredient module
Shadow field	$\mathcal{S}(2 - s, s)$	$\sim \mathcal{V}(2 - s, s)/\mathcal{D}(2 - s, s)$

Even dimension d

$d = 4$ realizations of irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(4, 2)$ -modules

CFT	Irreducible module	Verma module description
Conservation law	$\mathcal{D}(s + 3, s - 1)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 3, s - 1)$
Conformal current	$\mathcal{D}(s + 2, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(s + 2, s)/\mathcal{D}(s + 3, s - 1)$
Chiral FT field	$\mathcal{D}(2; s, \pm s)$	$\mathcal{V}(2; s, \pm s)/\mathcal{D}(s + 2, s)$
FT field	$\mathcal{D}(2, s, s)$ $\oplus \mathcal{D}(2, s, -s)$	$\mathcal{U}(2, s, s)/\mathcal{V}^*(s + 2, s)$
Pure gauge fixed shadow	$\mathcal{D}(2 - s, s)$	$\mathcal{V}(2 - s, s)/\mathcal{U}(2, s, s) \cong$ $\mathcal{U}(2 - s, s)/\mathcal{V}^*(s + 3, s - 1)$
Conformal Killing	$\mathcal{D}(1 - s, s - 1)$	$\mathcal{V}(1 - s, s - 1)/\mathcal{U}(2 - s, s)$
CFT	Reducible module	Contragredient module
Shadow field	$\mathcal{S}(2 - s, s)$	$\sim \mathcal{V}(2 - s, s)/\mathcal{U}(2 - s, s)$

Computation of partition function

Partition function

Canonical partition function

- **Generating function**

$$\mathcal{Z}(q) \equiv \sum_n d_n q^{\Delta+n} = \text{Tr}(\exp(-\beta \hat{H}))$$

of the auxiliary variable $q = \exp(-\beta)$ defined in terms of the inverse temperature β , i.e. the inverse of the perimeter of the circle S^1 in radial quantization. It encodes the number d_n of descendants of level n associated with a primary field of scale dimension Δ .

- **Character of $\mathfrak{so}(d, 2)$**

$$\chi(q, x_1, \dots, x_r) = \text{Tr} \left(\exp \left(-\beta \left(\hat{H} - \sum_{i=1}^r \Omega_i \hat{J}_i \right) \right) \right), \quad r = \text{rank } \mathfrak{so}(d),$$

with $x_i = \exp(\beta \Omega_i)$ as canonical partition function on thermal $\text{AdS}_{d+1} / S^1 \times S^{d-1}$ where we turn on the chemical potentials Ω_i corresponding to the angular momenta in the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(d)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}(q) = \chi(q, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Partition function

Characters of lowest-weight Verma modules

- Explicit formulae [F. Dolan, 2005]

$$\chi_{\mathcal{V}(\Delta, \vec{s})}^{\mathfrak{so}(d,2)}(q, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{d-2r}} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1-qx_i)(1-qx_i^{-1})} q^{\Delta} \chi_{\vec{s}}^{\mathfrak{so}(d)}(\vec{x})$$

- Useful property (lowest versus highest weight)

$$\chi_{\mathcal{V}(\Delta, \vec{s})}^{\mathfrak{so}(d,2)}(q^{-1}, \vec{x}) = (-)^d \chi_{\mathcal{V}(d-\Delta, \vec{s})}^{\mathfrak{so}(d,2)}(q, \vec{x})$$

Partition function

Character of free conformal spin- s gauge field

[Beccaria, XB, Tseytlin, 2014]

- Off-shell (any d)

$$\chi_{\mathcal{S}(2-s,s)}(q, \vec{x}) = \chi_{\mathcal{D}(1-s,s-1)}(q, \vec{x}) + (-)^d \chi_{\mathcal{D}(s+d-2,s)}(q^{-1}, \vec{x})$$

- On-shell (even d)

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}(2;s,s)}(q, \vec{x}) = \chi_{\mathcal{D}(1-s,s-1)}(q, \vec{x}) + \chi_{\mathcal{D}(s+d-2,s)}(q^{-1}, \vec{x}) - \chi_{\mathcal{D}(s+d-2,s)}(q, \vec{x})$$

Partition function

Remark: The formulae also applies in the degenerate case $s = 0$ in the sense that

- Off-shell

$$\chi_{\mathcal{V}(2,0)}(q, \vec{x}) = (-)^d \chi_{\mathcal{D}(d-2,0)}(q^{-1}, \vec{x})$$

- On-shell

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}(2,0)}(q, \vec{x}) = (-)^d \chi_{\mathcal{D}(d-2,0)}(q^{-1}, \vec{x}) - \chi_{\mathcal{D}(d-2,0)}(q, \vec{x})$$

Regularization & Cancellation

Sum over all integer spins

Sum of partition functions over the infinite tower of
Conformal currents \Leftrightarrow **Massless fields (Dirichlet)**

- = **Partition function of free higher-spin gravity**
- is finite in agreement with the **Flato-Fronsdal theorem**

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \chi_{\mathcal{D}(s+d-2,s)}(q, \vec{x}) = \left(\chi_{\mathcal{D}(\frac{d-2}{2},0)}(q, \vec{x}) \right)^2$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\frac{d-2}{2}, 0)$ is the scalar singleton.

Sum over all integer spins

Sum of partition functions over the infinite tower of **Fradkin-Tseytlin fields**

- = Partition function of free conformal higher-spin gravity
- is infinite and must be regularized.
- Regularization via characters [Basile, XB, Joung, 2018]
 - 1 Consider that the second term

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \chi_{\mathcal{D}(s+d-2,s)}(q^{-1}, \vec{x}) = \left(\chi_{\mathcal{D}(\frac{d-2}{2},0)}(q^{-1}, \vec{x}) \right)^2$$

is finite as well, then the second and third terms cancel.

- 2 This cancellation reduces the regularization of the partition function of free conformal higher-spin gravity to the one of the higher-spin algebra.

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \chi_{\mathcal{D}(2;s,s)}(q, \vec{x}) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\mathcal{D}(1-s,s-1)}(q, \vec{x})$$

Sum over all integer spins

Conclusion: At the level of characters of conformal higher-spin gravity,

$$\boxed{\text{Linearized spectrum} = \text{Symmetry algebra}}$$

Byproducts:

- **Regularizations consistent with higher-spin symmetries** by construction.
- **Vanishing of type-A Weyl anomaly and Casimir energy** ensured by parity property $Z(-\beta) = Z(\beta)$ valid for right-hand-side.

Conclusion and perspectives

Main results

Some suitable regularization of the divergent sum of characters over all spins suggest that the following modules might be isomorphic:

- the linearized spectrum of conformal higher-spin gravity theories (sum of all Fradkin-Tseytlin fields),
- the corresponding higher-spin algebra (sum of all conformal Killing tensors).

General conclusion

Confirmation of previous indications about conformal higher-spin gravity that, after regularization of the infinite tower of fields,

- no scale is generated at 1-loop (vanishing type-A Weyl anomaly, Casimir energy).
- the theory appears “topological” (trivial scattering, asymptotic charges as only dynamical degrees of freedom).

Perspectives

In order to confirm the relevance of our regularization prescription via characters:

- Obtain a field-theoretical version of our result about free conformal higher-spin gravity (linearized spectrum = symmetry algebra).
- Investigate its nonlinear analogue (if it survives interactions) in order to understand its deeper origin.