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#### Abstract

We construct a parent Hamiltonian for the family of non-Abelian chiral spin liquids proposed recently by two of us [Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 207203 (2009)] which includes the Abelian chiral spin liquid proposed by Kalmeyer and Laughlin as the special case $s=\frac{1}{2}$. As we use a circular disk geometry with an open boundary, both the annihilation operators we identify and the Hamiltonians we construct from these are exact only in the thermodynamic limit.
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Introduction. The field of two-dimensional quantum spin liquids [1-15] is witnessing a renaissance of interest in present days [16-20]. For one thing, due to advances in the computer facilities available, evidence for spin liquid states in a range of models is accumulating [21,22]. At the same time, spin liquids constitute the most intricate, and in general probably least understood, examples of topological phases [23-28], which themselves establish another vividly studied branch of condensed matter physics [29-31]. If a complete description of the electronic states in the two-dimensional (2D) CuO planes of high $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ superconductors [32] ever emerges, the theory is likely based on a spin $s=1 / 2$ liquid on a square lattice, which is stabilized through the kinetic energy of itinerant holon excitations [1].

Intimately related to the field of topological phases are the concepts of fractional quantization, and in particular fractional statistics [33]. This field has experienced another seemingly unrelated renaissance of interest in recent years, due to possible applications of states supporting excitations with non-Abelian statistics [34] to the rapidly evolving field of quantum computing and cryptography. The paradigm for this class is the Pfaffian state [35,36], which has been proposed to describe the experimentally observed quantized Hall plateau at Landau level filling fraction $v=\frac{5}{2}$ [36]. The state supports quasiparticle excitations which possess Majorana fermion states at zero energy [37]. Braiding of these half vortices yields nontrivial changes in the occupations of the Majorana fermion states, and hence render the exchanges noncommutative or non-Abelian $[38,39]$. Since this "internal" state vector is insensitive to local perturbations, it is preeminently suited for applications as protected qubits in quantum computation [40,41]. Non-Abelian anyons are further established in other quantum Hall states including Read-Rezayi states [42], in the non-Abelian phase of the Kitaev model [8], the Yao-Kivelson and Yao-Lee models [10,18], and in the family of non-Abelian chiral spin liquid (NACSL) states introduced by two of us [13]. Very recently, non-Abelian statistics has been observed numerically in hard-core lattice bosons in a magnetic field, without reference to explicit wave functions [43].

In this paper, we construct a parent Hamiltonian for the NACSL states [13]. These spin liquids support spinon excitations with $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ level $k=2 s$ statistics for $\operatorname{spin} s$, i.e., Abelian, Ising, and Fibonacci anyons for $s=\frac{1}{2}, 1$, and $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively. The method we employ here is different from the method we used to identify a Hamiltonian $[44,45]$ which
singles out the Kalmeyer-Laughlin chiral spin liquid (CSL) state [2,46] as its (modulo the twofold topological degeneracy) unique ground state for periodic boundary conditions (PBCs). It is considerably simpler, applicable to the entire family of spin $s$ NACSL states, but exact only in the thermodynamic (TD) limit even if we impose PBCs.

Chiral spin liquid states. The conceptually simplest way to construct the non-Abelian chiral spin liquid (NACSL) state [13] with spin $s$ is to combine $2 s$ identical copies of Abelian CSL states with spin $\frac{1}{2}$, and project the spin on each site onto spin $s$,

$$
\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{1}{2}}_{2 s}=s \oplus(2 s-1) \cdot s-1 \oplus \cdots
$$

The projection onto the completely symmetric representation can be carried out conveniently using Schwinger bosons [7,47]. For a circular droplet with open boundary conditions occupying $N$ sites on a triangular or square lattice, the Abelian CSL state takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\right\rangle & =\sum_{\substack{\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) S_{z_{1}}^{+} \cdots \cdots S_{z_{M}}^{+}|\downarrow \downarrow \cdots \downarrow\rangle \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M} ; \\
w_{1}, \ldots, w_{M}\right\}}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) a_{z_{1}}^{+} \cdots a_{z_{M}}^{\dagger} b_{w_{1}}^{+} \cdots b_{w_{M}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
& \equiv \Psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left[a^{\dagger}, b^{\dagger}\right]|0\rangle \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}[z]=\prod_{i<i}^{M}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{M} G\left(z_{i}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bosonic quantum Hall state in the complex "particle" coordinates $z_{i} \equiv x_{i}+i y_{i}$ supplemented by a gauge factor $G\left(z_{i}\right), M=\frac{N}{2}, a^{\dagger}$ and $b^{\dagger}$ are Schwinger boson creation operators [7,47,48], and the $w_{k}$ 's are those lattice sites which are not occupied by any of the $z_{i}$ 's. In this notation, we can write the spin $s$ state obtained by the projection as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle=\left(\Psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left[a^{\dagger}, b^{\dagger}\right]\right)^{2 s}|0\rangle . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lattice may be anisotropic; we have chosen the lattice constants such that the area of the unit cell spanned by the primitive lattice vectors is set to $2 \pi$. For a triangular or square lattice with lattice positions given by $\eta_{n, m}=n a+m b$, where $a$
and $b$ are the primitive lattice vectors in the complex plane and $n$ and $m$ are integers, the gauge phases are simply $G\left(\eta_{n, m}\right)=$ $(-1)^{(n+1)(m+1)}[46,49]$.

The NACSL state can alternatively be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle=\sum_{\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{s N}\right\}} \psi_{0}^{s}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{S N}\right) \tilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{+} \cdots \cdots \tilde{S}_{z_{s N}}^{+}|-s\rangle_{N}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|-s\rangle_{N} \equiv \otimes_{\alpha=1}^{N}|s,-s\rangle_{\alpha}$ is the "vacuum" state in which all the spins are maximally polarized in the negative $\hat{z}$ direction, and $\tilde{S}^{+}$are renormalized spin flip operators which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 s)!}}\left(a^{\dagger}\right)^{n}\left(b^{\dagger}\right)^{(2 s-n)}|0\rangle=\left(\tilde{S}^{+}\right)^{n}|s,-s\rangle . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a basis in which $S^{\mathrm{Z}}$ is diagonal, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}^{+}=\frac{1}{s-S^{\mathrm{z}}+1} S^{+} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Eq. (5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{-}\left(\tilde{S}^{+}\right)^{n}|s,-s\rangle=n\left(\tilde{S}^{+}\right)^{n-1}|s,-s\rangle . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wave functions for the spin $s$ state (3) are then effectively given by bosonic Read-Rezayi states [42] for renormalized spin flips,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}^{s}[z]=\prod_{m=1}^{2 s}\left\{\prod_{\substack{i, j=(m-1) M+1 \\ i<j}}^{m M}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right)^{2}\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{s N} G\left(z_{i}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we understand to be completely symmetrized over the "particle" coordinates $z_{i}$. For $s=1$, they take the form of a Moore-Read state $[35,36]$
$\psi_{0}^{s=1}[z]=\operatorname{Pf}\left(\frac{1}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\right) \prod_{i<j}^{N}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{s N} G\left(z_{i}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}$.

For the considerations below, it is convenient to write the state in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle=\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) \tilde{S}_{z_{1}}^{+} \ldots . \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right]^{2 s}|-s\rangle_{N} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Abelian KL CSL $\left|\psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\right\rangle$ is an exact spin singlet in the TD limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, and is an approximate singlet for finite $N$, the same holds for the NACSL $\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle$ as well. This follows from the construction of the Schwinger boson projection (3), but can also be verified directly using Perelomov's identity [50,51]. The Abelian and non-Abelian CSL states trivially violate parity ( P ) and and time reversal ( T ) symmetry, which would take $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$.

Ground state annihilation operators. In the TD limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the NACSL ground states are annihilated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\alpha}^{s}=\sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq \alpha}}^{N} \frac{1}{\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}}\left(S_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2 s} S_{\beta}^{-}, \quad \Omega_{\alpha}^{s}\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle=0 \quad \forall \alpha, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we will verify now.
Let us consider the action of $\left(S_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2 s} S_{\beta}^{-}$on $\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle$ written in the form (10). Since $\psi_{0}^{K L}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right)$ vanishes whenever two arguments $z_{i}$ coincide, one of the $z_{i}$ 's in each of the $2 s$ copies in (10) must equal $\eta_{\alpha}$; since $\psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right)$ is symmetric under interchange of the $z_{i}$ 's and we count each distinct configuration in the sums over $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}$ only once, we may take $z_{1}=\eta_{\alpha}$. Regarding the action of $S_{\beta}^{-}$on (10), we have to distinguish between configurations with $n=0,1,2, \ldots, 2 s$ renormalized spin flips $\tilde{S}_{\beta}^{+}$at site $\beta$. Since the state is symmetric under interchange of the $2 s$ copies, we may assume that the $n$ spin flips are present in the first $n$ copies, and account for the restriction through ordering by a combinatorial factor. This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(S_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2 s} S_{\beta}^{-}\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle= & \left(S_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2 s} S_{\beta}^{-} \sum_{n=0}^{2 s}\binom{2 s}{n}\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{3}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}, z_{3}, \ldots\right) \tilde{S}_{\alpha}^{+} \tilde{S}_{\beta}^{+} \tilde{S}_{z_{3}}^{+} \ldots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right]^{n} \\
& \times\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{2}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\} \neq \eta_{\beta}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, z_{2}, \ldots\right) \tilde{S}_{\alpha}^{+} \tilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{+} \ldots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right]^{2 s-n}|-s\rangle_{N} \\
= & (2 s)!2 s\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{2}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}, z_{3}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) \tilde{S}_{z_{3}}^{+} \ldots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right] \sum_{n=1}^{2 s}\binom{2 s-1}{n-1} \\
& \times\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{3}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}}^{\left.\psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}, z_{3}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) \tilde{S}_{\beta}^{+} \tilde{S}_{z_{3}}^{+} \ldots \ldots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right]^{n-1}}\right. \\
& \times\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{2}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\} \neq \eta_{\beta}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) \tilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{+} \ldots \ldots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right]^{2 s-n}|-s\rangle_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & (2 s)!2 s\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{3}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}, z_{3}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) \tilde{S}_{z_{3}}^{+} \ldots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right] \\
& \times\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{2}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) \tilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{+} \ldots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right]^{2 s-1}|-s\rangle_{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Eq. (7). This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\alpha}^{s}\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle= & (2 s)!2 s[\sum_{\left\{z_{3}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}} \underbrace{\left.\sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \frac{\psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}, z_{3}, \ldots, z_{M}\right)}{\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}} \tilde{S}_{z_{3}}^{+} \cdots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right]}_{=0} \\
& \times\left[\sum_{\left\{z_{2}, \ldots, z_{M}\right\}} \psi_{0}^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(\eta_{\alpha}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{M}\right) \tilde{S}_{z_{2}}^{+} \cdots \tilde{S}_{z_{M}}^{+}\right]^{2 s-1}|-s\rangle_{N}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the Perelomov identity $[50,51]$ which states that any infinite lattice sum of $e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left|\eta_{\beta}\right|^{2}} G\left(\eta_{\beta}\right)$ times any analytic function of $\eta_{\beta}$ vanishes.

Parent Hamiltonian. A Hermitian, positive semidefinite, and translationally invariant operator which annihilates $\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \Omega_{\alpha}^{s \dagger} \Omega_{\alpha}^{s}=\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \\ \alpha \neq \beta, \gamma}} \omega_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\left(S_{\alpha}^{+}\right)^{2 s}\left(S_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2 s} S_{\beta}^{+} S_{\gamma}^{-} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \equiv \frac{1}{\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}-\bar{\eta}_{\beta}} \frac{1}{\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\gamma}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operator is not invariant under $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ spin rotations, but rather consists of a scalar, vector, and higher tensor components up to order $4 s+2$. Since the NACSL states $\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle$ are spin singlets, and are annihilated by $\Gamma$, all these tensor components must annihilate the state individually [52]. The scalar component of $\Gamma$, which we denote as $\{\Gamma\}_{0}$, provides us with an $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ spin rotationally invariant parent Hamiltonian.

To obtain the projected operator $\{\Gamma\}_{0}$, we follow the method described in detail in Ref. [52], and summarize here only the most important steps. With the tensor content of $S_{\beta}^{+} S_{\gamma}^{-}$given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\beta}^{+} S_{\gamma}^{-}=\frac{2}{3} \boldsymbol{S}_{\beta} \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}-\mathrm{i}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}\right)^{\mathrm{z}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} T_{\beta \gamma}^{0} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\beta \gamma}^{0}= & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\left(3 S_{\beta}^{\mathrm{z}} S_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{z}}-\boldsymbol{S}_{\beta} \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}\right) \\
H^{s}= & \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \omega_{\alpha \beta \beta}\left[s(s+1)^{2}+\boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{S}_{\beta}-\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{S}_{\beta}\right)^{2}}{(s+1)}\right]+\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \\
\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq \alpha}} \omega_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \\
& \times\left[(s+1) \boldsymbol{S}_{\beta} \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}-\frac{2 s+3}{2(s+1)} \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}\right)-\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{S}_{\beta}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}\right)+\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{S}_{\beta}\right)}{2(s+1)}\right] \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

[It is related to Eq. (18) via $\{\Gamma\}_{0}=2 a_{0} /(2 s+3) H^{s}$.] This Hamiltonian is approximately valid for any finite disk with $N$ lattice sites, and becomes exact in the TD limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $H^{s}\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle=0$. Note that the $\boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}\right)$ term explicitly breaks P and T. (It would be highly desirable to identify a parent Hamiltonian which is P and T invariant, such that the ground states violate these symmetries spontaneously, but we have so far not succeeded in finding one.)

The special case $s=\frac{1}{2}$. Since $S_{\alpha}^{+2}=0$ for $s=\frac{1}{2}, T_{\alpha \alpha}^{m}=0$ for all $m$, and $\left\{T_{\alpha \alpha}^{0} T_{\beta \gamma}^{0}\right\}_{0}=0$. This simplifies Eq. (18) significantly, and yields the parent Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{s=\frac{1}{2}}= & \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \omega_{\alpha \beta \beta}\left[\frac{3}{4}+\boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{S}_{\beta}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \\
\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq \alpha}} \omega_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\left[\boldsymbol{S}_{\beta} \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}-\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{S}_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma}\right)\right] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

[It is related to Eq. (18) via $\{\Gamma\}_{0}=2 a_{0} / 3 H^{s=\frac{1}{2}}$.] In contrast to the earlier parent Hamiltonian proposed in Refs. [44] and [45] (SKTG) for the Abelian KL CSL (2) with periodic boundary conditions, Eq. (21) is not exact for finite $N$. It is considerably simpler than the SKTG model, and, like Eq. (20), becomes exact in the TD limit.

Remarks on periodic boundary conditions. It is rather straightforward to formulate the model on a torus. For simplicity, we choose the lattice constant $a$ real, and $b$ such that the imaginary part $\Im(b)>0$. We implement PBCs in both directions by identifying the sites $z_{i}, z_{i}+L$, and $z_{i}+L \tau$, where $L=n_{1} a, L \tau=n_{\tau} a+m_{\tau} b$, and $\Im(\tau)>0 . n_{1}$ and $m_{\tau}$ are positive integers such that the number of sites $N=n_{1} m_{\tau}$ is even, and $n_{\tau}$ is an integer. We place the lattice sites at positions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n, m}=\left(n-\frac{n_{1}-1}{2}\right) a+\left(m-\frac{m_{\tau}-1}{2}\right) b \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n=0,1, \ldots, n_{1}-1$ and $m=0,1, \ldots, m_{\tau}-1$. Then the wave function of the NACSL (8) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{0}^{s}[z]= & \prod_{m=1}^{2 s}\left\{\prod_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i<j-1) M+1}}^{m M} \vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{L}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right) \right\rvert\, \tau\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\times \prod_{\nu=1}^{2} \vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{L}\left(Z_{m}-Z_{v, m}\right) \right\rvert\, \tau\right)\right\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s N} G\left(z_{i}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} y_{i}^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{2}}(z \mid \tau)$ is the odd Jacobi theta function [53], and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{m} \equiv \sum_{i=(m-1) M+1}^{m M} z_{i}, \quad Z_{1, m}=-Z_{2, m} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the center-of-mass coordinates and zeros, respectively. The latter can be chosen anywhere within the principal region bounded by the four points $\frac{1}{2}\left( \pm n_{1} a \pm m_{\tau} b\right)$, encoding the $(2 s+1)$-fold topological degeneracy of the NACSL [19]. The gauge factor in Eq. (23) is given by [51]

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\eta_{n, m}\right)=(-1)^{m_{\tau} n+m} \exp \left(-i \pi \frac{\Re(b)}{a} m\left(m_{\tau}-1-m\right)\right), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{R}(b)$ is the real part of $b$.
The NACSL (23) is approximately annihilated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\alpha}^{s}=\sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq \alpha}}^{N} \frac{\vartheta_{u, v}\left(\left.\frac{1}{L}\left(\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}\right) \right\rvert\, \tau\right)}{\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{L}\left(\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}\right) \right\rvert\, \tau\right)}\left(S_{\alpha}^{-}\right)^{2 s} S_{\beta}^{-} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha$. The prime indicates that we restrict the sum such that the $\left(\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}\right.$ )'s (and not the $\eta_{\beta}$ 's) are located in the principal region. In the numerator, we can choose any of the three even Jacobi theta functions: $(u, v)=(0,0),\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, or $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$. Note that $\Omega_{\alpha}^{s}\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle$ is not strictly periodic, but only quasiperiodic, due to the shift of the boundary phases inherent in Eq. (26). The statement $\Omega_{\alpha}^{s}\left|\psi_{0}^{s}\right\rangle \approx 0$ becomes exact as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The NACSL (23) is hence the approximate ground state of Eq. (20) [and for $s=\frac{1}{2}$ also of Eq. (21)] with (13) replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=\left(\frac{\vartheta_{u, v}\left(\left.\frac{1}{L}\left(\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}\right) \right\rvert\, \tau\right)}{\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{L}\left(\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\beta}\right) \right\rvert\, \tau\right)}\right)^{*} \frac{\vartheta_{u, v}\left(\left.\frac{1}{L}\left(\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\gamma}\right) \right\rvert\, \tau\right)}{\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}\left(\left.\frac{1}{L}\left(\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\gamma}\right) \right\rvert\, \tau\right)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $*$ denotes complex conjugation, and the sums over $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are replaced by primed sums as defined in Eq. (26). As in the case with open boundary conditions, the model becomes exact in the TD limit.

Conclusion. We have identified a parent Hamiltonian for the non-Abelian CSL states [13] which becomes exact in the TD limit. This Hamiltonian should allow us to study the spinon and holon excitations including the non-Abelian braiding properties within a concise framework. The construction also extends to the Abelian $s=\frac{1}{2}$ Kalmeyer-Laughlin CSL [2,46], where it is likewise exact only as the number of sites $N \rightarrow$ $\infty$, but is considerably simpler that the SKTG Hamiltonian [44,45].

Note added in the proof. After this work was completed, we became aware of a manuscript by Nielsen, Cirac, and Sierra [54], in which they derive the $s=\frac{1}{2}$ Hamiltonian (21) using null operators in the conformal correlators of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ level $k=1$.
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